

SCALIBUR

LEADING A REVOLUTION
IN BIOWASTE RECYCLING

Italy

National Action Manual for local uptake

Deliverable 2.2
**SCALIBUR insights from
Albano Laziale**

W W W . S C A L I B U R . E U

CONTENTS

➤ Introduction	3
Who is this manual for?	4
How should you use this manual?	4
Where did this manual come from?	4
➤ Engage the right people	4
How to map your stakeholders	5
➤ Analyse the current situation	7
How to conduct a baseline analysis	7
How to visualise your biowaste streams	14
➤ How to Biowaste Club	17
Key steps to set up & conduct a Biowaste Club	18
Define the scope & objectives of your BCM!	18
Decide whom to invite	18
Run your Biowaste Club meeting & keep your stakeholders engaged!	19
➤ The Biowaste Club experience in the SCALIBUR project	20
The Albano Laziale waste management system	20
The Albano Laziale Biowaste Club	21
Key Challenges & Learnings	22
➤ What we learned from biowaste clubs	24
Summary of common challenges (and how to overcome them!)	24
Learning from Lund	25
Country-specific context: Italy	26
➤ Where to get help!	28

INTRODUCTION

➤ Who is this manual for?

This manual is for anyone who wants to know more about urban biowaste sorting and collection and also play an active role in converting it into high value-added products, transforming linear resource chains into circular loops where biowaste becomes an input in generating a new product.

This manual is written for you if you are involved in the biowaste value chain of your city – for example, in the municipal administration's waste department, the waste management company, the municipal wastewater treatment plant – or if you are outside the value chain interested in engaging stakeholders and bringing people together – for example, someone from a local community group or NGO.

➤ How should you use this manual?

The purpose of this manual is to guide the user through the process of engaging stakeholders in their cities' biowaste value chain. It is a step-by-step guide of how to identify stakeholders, understand their motivations, bring them together for exchange and discussion, find opportunities for action, and mobilise for change. The goal of this process is to increase biowaste collection rates and promote the conversion of biowaste into high value-added products. You could also use this manual if you are working in a regional or national public authority and would like to replicate successful experiences of pilot cities and innovative biowaste projects in your region or country.

➤ Where did this manual come from?

This manual is based on the experiences and knowledge gained in the SCALIBUR project and the stakeholder engagement process that the Collaborating Centre for Sustainable Consumption and Production (CSCP) facilitated in the project's pilot cities and regions: Madrid (Spain), Albano Laziale (Italy), and Kozani (Greece).

SCALIBUR's core objective is to promote innovative approaches to collection, sorting and recycling of urban biowaste in Europe. To achieve this objective, it is crucial to identify and engage all relevant stakeholders along the biowaste value chain.

A main part of the project focuses on mapping all relevant stakeholders in their operational settings; understanding their challenges; identifying local influences, such as economic, social or legal factors; and collecting existing best practices and generating new ones to help the pilot cities adopt technologies developed in SCALIBUR. This long-term engagement approach is crucial to enhance the acceptance and adoption of newly developed technologies and processes.



ENGAGE THE RIGHT PEOPLE

This is a manual for engaging the right people on the way to creating a more circular biowaste sector. These people are the “stakeholders” in your city’s biowaste value chain.

But what exactly is a stakeholder?



A stakeholder is a person or group who can affect your activities or whose interests are affected by your activities.

It can be someone with an active role in the urban biowaste value chain, someone who is indirectly involved or affected, or someone with latent interest.

This could be local and regional actors such as:

- Local and regional administrations
- Waste management companies
- Wastewater treatment plants / wastewater management companies
- Hotel, restaurant and catering (HORECA) associations
- Housing associations
- Potential consumers of biowaste-based products
- Research institutions

To effectively address challenges and opportunities areas in the urban biowaste value chain, it is important to identify these stakeholders, and to **understand their interests and needs.**

Understanding their **motivations** and gaining their trust will help you focus on issues interesting to them, attract them to join the discussions, and develop effective activities that are relevant for the them.

In addition, drawing stakeholder’s attention to the opportunities and benefits for them in closing biowaste loops can encourage them to get on board.

> How to map your stakeholders



TO DO: Fill out the following template to the best of your knowledge.



TIP: Download the template as a .xlsx file:
www.scalibur.eu/resources

Background information	
Organisation name	
Website	
Country	
City	

Contact person(s)	
Specific person(s) in mind that you would approach first	
Role in the organisation	
How would you contact this individual? E.g. phone, email, etc.	
Other communication channels you are currently using to reach this organisation (if applicable)	

Type of organisation	
Type of organisation (choose from list)	
Explanation of organisation type (if applicable)	
Geographic reach (choose from list)	
Main fields of work	

Previous contact with this organisation	
If you have already been in contact, give a few key words describing past cooperation	
Relevant recent joint projects, events, activities etc.	

Envisioned role	
Where to involve this organisation (choose from list)	

INFLUENCE of the stakeholder on the success of a biowaste project	
INFLUENCE 1: How crucial is it to involve this stakeholder in order to ensure the success of a biowaste project in your city/region? (choose from list)	
INFLUENCE 2: Why is this stakeholder relevant for a biowaste project? What can they contribute to ensuring its success?	

INTEREST of the stakeholder in a biowaste project	
INTEREST 1: How interesting would a biowaste project be for the stakeholder? (choose from list)	
INTEREST 2: Why do you think a biowaste project is relevant and beneficial TO THEM? (Also helpful to consider the stakeholder's wish with regard to biowaste)	

Bioeconomy/circular economy projects	
Include keywords on this stakeholder's experience with bioeconomy/circular economy projects, including its involvement	
How willing do you think the stakeholder will be to engage in future bioeconomy/circular economy initiatives?	

ANALYSE

THE CURRENT SITUATION

Now that you have identified the relevant stakeholders and listed their interests, you should be able to better understand the current biowaste situation in your city. This represents the status quo.


This information will be the basis of exchange and discussions among the stakeholders. It will help them identify the existing strengths and weaknesses of

the existing political, economic, social and legal systems. In addition, this information will help them identify opportunities along the value chain for creating high-value products from urban biowaste.


Relevant information may include, but are not limited to: biowaste separation rates, waste collection routes, existing value-added streams, and citizen awareness.

➤ How to conduct a baseline analysis

You will find a long list of questions below. These are the basic facts about the biowaste system in your city and important factors that can influence it. Your answers to these questions will give you and the stakeholders a starting point (the “baseline”) to kick off engagement on biowaste projects.

 **TO DO:** Answer the following questions to the best of your knowledge. Questions in green are fundamental. Questions in grey provide more detail for your analysis.

 **TIP:** Download an editable file (.docx) with these questions: www.scalibur.eu/resources

 **TIP:** Have a look at the detailed baseline analyses of Kozani (EL), Albano Laziale (IT) and Madrid (ES) in the document “Deliverable 2.1” in the REPORTS section: www.scalibur.eu/resources

1. Background information					
Population			Population density (pop/km ²)		
GDP (thousands €)			Area (km ²)		
Demographic and geographic distribution					
urban/rural (%)		male/female (%)		age (%)	
Main economic activities (%)					
Agriculture, livestock, forestry, fisheries	Industry	Construction	Services	Tourism	Other
Other relevant aspects					

2. Circularity strategy	
2.1.	Does your city/region have a strategy/action plan/assessment/stakeholder identification for circular economy at the urban level?
2.2.	If so, does it include bioeconomy?
2.3.	Does your city/region already have circular policies and/or investment plans in place?
2.4.	Do these strategies/plans/policies already cover the valorisation of urban biowaste and wastewater to produce bio-based products?
	Specific targets
	Implementation plans and timeframe
	Process monitoring activities
	Planned investments (€ and describe the investment objective, facilities affected/improved)
	Is the municipality responsible for UBW/WW treatment facilities or are they managed by a private company or a public-private company?
2.5.	Does urban biowaste and wastewater feature as an input in any other strategies or plans?
	Does your regional agriculture plan include measures to promote and use urban biowaste as fertiliser?
	Does your energy plan include measures to promote and use biowaste as a source of energy?
	Does your transport plan include measures to promote and use biofuels?
2.6.	Are there studies on how urban biowaste is produced, collected, and/or recycled in your city/region, e.g., by universities, NGOs, national government, etc.?
2.7.	If yes, what did the studies conclude about how the process could be improved?
2.8.	Are you aware of other cities/region in your country that have already successfully established biowaste recycling or valorisation schemes or are in the process of doing so?

3. Legislation	
3.1.	What legislation is there at the local, national, or European level that affects the creation or operation of biowaste recycling and valorisation systems in your city/region? <i>Please include: title of legislation, date that it came into force, and either the implications for your city/region or the outcomes of implementation.</i>
4. Motivation	
4.1	What aspects of your city/region's circular bioeconomy performance could be improved?
4.2	How do you think your city/region's circular bioeconomy performance can improve over the next 5 years?
4.3	Which aspect of your city/region's circular bioeconomy should be targeted first?
5. Citizen engagement	
5.1.	How do you think engaging citizens can help increase the circular economy in your city/region?
5.2.	What kind of citizen engagement and awareness-raising activities in urban biowaste recycling have been/are being done in your city/region?
5.3.	Main results of these activities – e.g. on the quality and quantity of (bio)waste
5.4.	How could these activities be improved?
5.5.	Are you aware of good citizen engagement activities in other sectors and/or from other places that you think were successful? (Consider not only biowaste but also e.g., waste separation, recycling.)
5.6.	What do you think made these activities successful?

6. Stakeholder engagement	
6.1.	How do you think engaging stakeholders can help increase the circular economy in your city/region?
6.2.	What kind of stakeholder engagement on urban biowaste recycling has been carried out in your city/region?
6.3.	How could this be improved?
6.4.	Are you aware of good stakeholder engagement activities in other sectors and/or from other places that you think were successful? (Consider not only biowaste, but also e.g., waste separation, recycling.)
6.5.	What do you think made these activities successful?
7. Biowaste value chain	
7.1.	Waste Production
	7.1.1.Amount of urban biowaste (UBW) generated in your city/region (tonnes/year)
	7.1.2. UBW composition
	7.1.3. How does this compare to the national average?
7.2.	Waste collection and transport
	7.2.1. What is the waste collection system (surface containers, door to door, buried containers)?
	7.2.2. What fractions are collected separately?
	7.2.3. Since when (year) has biowaste been collected separately (if applicable)?
	7.2.4. If biowaste is collected separately, what is the collection system like, and how frequently is it collected?

Continued: How to conduct a **baseline analysis**

	7.2.5. What are the costs of biowaste collection for households, HoReCa, and other waste producers?
	7.2.6. What are, in your view, shortcomings in the current collection system and what are the main reasons for them?
	7.2.7. What are the separation rates in your city?
	7.2.8. What is the quality of the separation (level of improper materials)?
	7.2.9. How do the separation rates and quality compare to national averages?
7.3.	Sorting and pre-treatment
	7.3.1. Does the biowaste undergo any sorting processes when it arrives at the processing plant?
	7.3.2. Describe the treatment facilities for the UBW
	7.3.2.1. Facility type/name _____
	7.3.2.2. Type of UBW treated _____
	7.3.2.3. Type of process _____
	7.3.2.4. Effectiveness of the process (quality, % landfilling etc) _____
	7.3.3. How does the effectiveness of the process compare to the national average?
	7.3.4. What pre-treatment processes are used?
	7.3.5. What are, in your view, shortcomings in the current sort and pre-treatment system and what are the main reasons for it?
7.4.	Valorisation processes and development of bio-based products
	7.4.1. Does your city/region valorise UBW?
	7.4.2. If so, what are the main valorisation processes, who is carrying them out, and where
	7.4.3. Types of bioproducts produced
	7.4.4. Performance indicators:
	7.4.4.1. Treated amount of UBW/year _____
	7.4.4.2. €/ton of UBW _____

Continued: How to conduct a **baseline analysis**

	7.4.5. Are high added value bio-based products from UBW produced?
	7.4.6. At which scale are bio-based products produced?
	7.4.7. Is there planned investment to expand production of bio-based products?
	7.4.8. Is there room for improvement of this facility/process?
	7.4.9. How economically feasible is the valorisation process in your city/region?
	7.4.10. Are you aware of private companies in the city working on biowaste valorisation?
	7.4.11. Which companies or other local, national, or international actors might be interested in the UBW valorised products?
	7.4.12. What are, in your view, the shortcomings in the current UBW valorisation processes and what are the main reasons for them?

8. Wastewater value chain

8.1.	Wastewater and sludge generation
	8.1.1. Amount of wastewater (WW) treated in your city/region (million m ³ /year)
	8.1.2. WW composition (amount and type of organic matter)
	8.1.3. Amount of sludge produced (m ³ /year)
	8.1.4. How do these rates compare to national averages?
8.2.	Treatment
	8.2.1. Capacity of WW treatment plant (m ³ /hour)
	8.2.2. Describe the treatment facilities for the WW:
	8.2.2.1. Name _____
	8.2.2.2. Facility type _____
	8.2.2.3. Type of process/technology applied _____

Continued: How to conduct a **baseline analysis**

8.3.	Valorisation
	8.3.1. Does your city/region valorise urban WW sludge?
	8.3.2. If so, what are the main valorisation processes, who is carrying them out, and where?
	8.3.3. Types of bioproducts produced
	8.3.4. Performance indicators:
	8.3.4.1. Treated amount of sludge/year _____
	8.3.4.2. €/m ³ of sludge _____
	8.3.5. Are any high added value bio-based products from WW produced?
	8.3.6. At which scale are bio-based products from WW valorisation produced?
	8.3.7. Is there planned investment for expansion of the production of bio-based products?
	8.3.8. Is there room for improvement of this facility/process?
	8.3.9. How economically feasible is the WW valorisation process in your city/region?
	8.3.10. Are you aware of private companies in the city working on biowaste valorisation?
	8.3.11. Which companies or other local, national, or international actors might be interested in the WW valorised products?
	8.3.12. What are, in your view, the shortcomings in the current WW valorisation processes and what are the main reasons for it?


➤ Want to level up?


This baseline template was designed to be a simple and easy starting point for understanding the status quo. You can take it to the next level by, for instance, conducting an urban metabolism analysis. Find tools online or see whom to contact in the section Where to get help.


How to visualise your biowaste streams

Your stakeholder mapping and baseline analysis of the city's urban biowaste sector might contain a lot of data. It can therefore be helpful to map the biowaste value chain in a diagram in order to have a visual aid

showing which organisations are involved, what their roles and functions are, the flow of the biowaste streams, and where the streams currently end. It might look something like this:



 **TO DO:** Map your city's biowaste streams in a diagram.

 **TIP:** Cut out the shapes below to create your visualisation!

Start with the different sources of urban biowaste and how the waste is handled. Add the stakeholders from your stakeholder mapping and data from your baseline analysis. Strengths, weaknesses, challenges and opportunities may already start to appear.




Shapes to visualise biowaste streams:





Shapes to visualise biowaste streams:



HOW TO BIOWASTE CLUB

A Biowaste Club is a tool or methodology for multi-stakeholder engagement, applied via a series of events, workshops, trainings, focus groups, and others collaborative approaches.

A Biowaste Club provides the necessary neutral stage for a variety of actors to meet, discuss, and collaborate and to, for example:

- Develop a shared local biowaste vision: Key biowaste actors unite and work on a shared vision and roadmap on how to support their city/region in the transition towards a more circular bioeconomy;
- Share knowledge and experiences: BCs facilitate the sharing of knowledge among the participants not only at city level but also across other cities and regions;
- Institutionalise regular exchange: As part of the long-term engagement process, BCMs can enhance communication between key actors along the value chain by providing opportunities for regular exchange; and
- Foster local leadership: Through the BC, ownership of the processes is given to local actors, further motivating them to drive the topic of biowaste recycling on the local and regional level and ultimately contributing to the development and implementation of pilot actions.

What is a Biowaste Club (BC) and why should I set one up?



➤ **Who should be part of a Biowaste Club?**

The members of a Biowaste Club can be local and regional actors along the biowaste value chain, such as waste management companies, research institutions, public authorities, HoReCa actors etc., including citizens. Furthermore, the composition of each Biowaste Club meeting can change according to the topic(s) at stake and key objectives to be reached.

➤ Key steps to set up & conduct a Biowaste Club

Now that we have defined what a Biowaste Club is and what its key objectives are, let's take a look at the key steps to set it up!

Define the scope & objectives of your BCM!

The format and focus of each Biowaste Club meeting (BCM) can vary, depending on the local context. Thus, the first questions you need to ask when you start planning the setting up of your BCM is: What is my strategy and objectives of my Biowaste Club meetings and what should be the outcomes?

- Is it to introduce a local bio-plastics start-up to the waste treatment facility?
- Is it for the regional waste collection company to hear from HoReCa representatives what the main barrier is to sorting?
- Is it for households to co-design improved biowaste bins?

Fewer objectives that are clear are more useful than a long list. These objectives form the scope of your BCM.

Decide whom to invite

The second question to ask is: Whom should I invite in order to have fruitful discussions and exchanges? Remember, not every topic is relevant to all your key stakeholders. Select participants based on the objectives of this particular BCM. In addition, try to anticipate potential challenges and consider inviting certain stakeholder groups to separate meetings or shaping the agenda in a way that would avoid certain topics. Now, go back to your stakeholder mapping and start your selection!

💡 Tips

- **Spark your participants' interest:** When inviting participants to a BCM, highlight the central role that they will play, the importance of their contributions and most importantly, **how they will directly benefit by joining**. You need to build trust and long-term engagement!
- **Update your stakeholder mapping:** Consider it **a living document** that should be continuously updated and revised throughout your Biowaste Club meetings!



➤ Run your Biowaste Club meeting & keep your stakeholders engaged!

Let's now get into the actions for running your Biowaste Club meeting and establishing long-term stakeholder engagement.

The first thing to remember: **it's all about interactions**. At the heart of any BCM are the interactions between the stakeholders. The meetings are an opportunity to get together and to exchange knowledge, experiences and expertise. Thus, plan your meeting agenda in a way that facilitates discussions and exchanges and make the format as interactive as possible, perhaps by using visual aids, multimedia and props, breakout sessions, or incorporating site visits. We suggest to always keep in mind three crucial aspects:

1. The objective(s) of each BCM
2. The final number of your participants & their availability
3. Plan enough breaks: some might serve technical purposes or are good for making coffee and refreshing the participants' minds. Breaks are also usually the time when the participants start to network and discuss topics in depth in pairs or small groups (especially those who are maybe too shy to speak out during the official programme)

Have a look at the next section of this manual on the SCALIBUR experience in setting up and running BCMs.

💡 Tips

- **During a BCM, innovative ideas might come up:** Following up on these ideas will naturally lead to the planning of the next meeting and keep the stakeholders engaged and up to date. For example: the creation of an ad-hoc label for HoReCa actors participating into specific biowaste sorting activities; the development of online service to further optimize collection process; the setting-up on new local collaborations to generate added-value products from biowaste residues ...
- **Plan the next activities together with your stakeholders:** The outcomes from BCMs should be the basis of concrete local activities. Use the momentum and involve the BCM participants in these activities right away.
- **Sharing is caring:** If no confidential aspects have been discussed, encourage participants to share the meeting material, outcomes and next steps with other colleagues. This will help disseminate the generated knowledge to more key stakeholders.



THE BIOWASTE CLUB EXPERIENCE IN THE SCALIBUR PROJECT

The Albano Laziale waste management system

Albano Laziale is an Italian municipality in the Metropolitan area of Rome, located in the region Castelli Romani, central Italy. It is sometimes known simply as Albano and is bounded by other communes of Castel Gandolfo, Rocca di Papa, Ariccia, and Ardea. Albano Laziale has 41,715 inhabitants which corresponds to a total of 17,166 household in the city.

In the city of Albano Laziale, waste is separated and collected into different fractions, namely wet fraction, plastic, paper and cardboard, metal and glass packaging, paper and cardboard, road cleaning residues and undifferentiated waste (dry, non-recyclable). Biowaste is collected, sorted and pre-treated in an automated system, using magnets and screens. Finally, on the basis of the best offer from the plants that treat each EWC code, the Municipality sends them the wet fraction as well as the other types of urban waste.

Starting from 2019, the municipality has transitioned from a system based on different large bins located around the city to a door-to-door system together with a new related tax called TARIP, based on the concept of "Pay as you throw". The tax is calculated on the number of persons living in a single household. Each household is provided with a single smaller bin for non-recyclable waste with a computer chip installed inside. For example, a one-person household will pay a TARIP tax fee that includes emptying the non-recyclable waste bin 16 times. Every additional time that the non-recyclable waste bin will need to be emptied, the household will have to pay €1.10. Should the single person manage to reduce the amount of emptying the non-recyclable waste bin to less than 13 times throughout a year, a



discount of €1.10 for each time that the bin has not been emptied will be provided. Naturally, the system provides special dispensations for households including kids (up to 3 years) and elderly or sick persons.

In addition to this new waste collection system, the city of Albano Laziale has also set up "eating plastic machines". Each citizen can accumulate points on their health card on the basis of the amount of plastic inserted in the machine. These points can be converted either to a discount on the TARIP tax or used in shops. After an initial testing phase, the system has also been extended to commercial activities which can benefit from a similar discount system. These machines have significantly contributed to changing citizens mindsets towards better recycling thanks to an award system.

With the door-to-door system and the "eating plastic machines" citizens have been engaged on a deeper level. The success of both approaches relies on citizens understanding, awareness and engagement: e.g., they have to separate the waste properly and display their waste bins outside of their houses/apartments at specific days during the week depending on the type of waste to be collected; they have to separately store plastic bottles and bring them to the machines located in the city.

➤ The Albano Laziale Biowaste Club

After Albano Laziale conducted a baseline analysis of its waste management system, different types of BCMs were organized, ranging from public gatherings in the city centre addressing mainly citizens to targeted interactive workshops including waste managers, decision-makers, representatives from the HoReCa sector. Below is a summary of the various meetings:

1st BCM

Focus: Project introduction in relation to the needs and benefits for the city & wider region

Engaged stakeholders: Citizens & local public authorities

Key outcomes

- Kicking off the engagement process with key stakeholders at the city level
- Inform citizens about the scope and possible activities of the project

2nd BCM

Focus: Further engage key value chain actors & decision-makers to identify major common challenges & opportunity areas

Engaged stakeholders: Local public authorities, waste management companies representatives, policy-makers & research organizations

Key outcomes

- Define the operational framework including the initial identification of key challenges and opportunities in current collection, engagement & sorting of (bio)waste
- Start the identification of key measures & strategies to improve collection of biowaste from HoReCa sector
- Explore opportunities for valorization of biowaste via insects rearing

3rd BCM

Focus: How to overcome existing operational challenges & policy limitations to further implement the PAYT waste management system

Engaged stakeholders: Local public authorities, waste management company & decision-makers from neighbouring municipalities

Key outcomes

- Narrow down key local challenges & opportunity areas for the implementation of the PAYT for the Horeca sector
- Identification of pilot actions to be pilot tested at the city level

4th BCM

Focus: Informing citizens & the HoReCa sector representative about 2 services on plastic collection & waste management (App "Junker")

Engaged stakeholders: waste management company representatives, local public authorities, Horeca sector actors & citizens

Key outcomes

- Further citizens engagement into local activities
- Awareness raising for improving plastics collection and recycling & municipal waste management services

5th BCM

Focus: Open dialogue with Horeca sector representative to advance the uptake of the PAYT system

Engaged stakeholders: local public authorities, Horeca sector actors & waste management company representatives


Key outcomes

- Increase the understanding & awareness of the Horeca sector with respect to the city (bio)waste pilot activities
- Better understand the willingness of the Horeca actors to cooperate for the pilot testing of innovative measures
- Define/revise next steps with respect identified pilot activities

➤ Key Challenges & Learnings

By running the different Biowaste Club meetings in Albano Laziale, a set of “watch-outs” (issues to pay attention to) and key learnings emerged.

Albano Laziale Watch-outs



Albano Laziale

6 key learnings



WHAT WE LEARNED FROM BIOWASTE CLUBS

➤ Summary of common challenges and how to overcome them!

As you start to bring stakeholders together to discuss, plan and carry out activities to cut urban biowaste and generate new value-added products from it, you may encounter challenges.

The most common challenge is probably stakeholders with limited time or budget to spend on extra activities like participating in a biowaste club.

- ★ Align biowaste club meetings and activities with the stakeholder's daily work and motivations as closely as possible (consult your stakeholder mapping!). Design – and frame – biowaste club activities to have them support stakeholders' work, not create extra work. For example, a treatment facility may wish for a higher degree of sorting from HoReCa or households, or municipalities may have to comply with national or EU waste reduction legislation. Your biowaste club activities should offer help in these efforts.

There may be limited local leadership.

- ★ You might already see this challenge during your stakeholder mapping and baseline analysis exercises. In addition to the points mentioned in the two challenges above, find the stakeholders that are potentially motivated and start creating interest with them. Together with these stakeholders, identify areas where action would be possible.

Another challenge may be a lack of motivation from stakeholders.

- ★ Approach individuals directly to explain why their involvement is key to the success of the biowaste club and how they can benefit from joining. Invite them personally.
- ★ Identifying problems is easier than identifying solutions. Start by asking stakeholders what the challenges are to lead into a discussion of what the opportunities are.
- ★ To spark interest in existing technical solutions, bring local stakeholders in contact with technical organisations developing valorisation or sorting/collecting technologies.
- ★ Smaller groups and informal settings can encourage participants to speak more freely.
- ★ HoReCa and households may be incentivised to participate in activities such as increased sorting by fee reductions

Urban biowaste valorisation may face legislative and regulatory barriers.

- ★ Production processes of fertiliser, animal feed, and other biowaste-based products might be restricted by regional and national legislation. Bring local stakeholders together with policymakers on relevant governance levels in policy dialogue to discuss these barriers.
- ★ Look into valorisation streams apart from compost and bio-based products that are not restricted.

What if it's election period?

- ★ Local elections can create uncertainty about mid and long-term political commitment. Act fast before the election period begins to build networks, momentum and a strong foundation for future activities. After an administration change, consider new opportunities that may have arisen – for example, political interest in a new low-risk biowaste pilot scheme.

Learning from Lund

The Swedish city of Lund is a shining example of effective waste management and is a frontrunner city and project partner in SCALIBUR. In recent years, the city transitioned to a new recycling system – households are given two large bins, each with four compartments. This resulted in only 2 % of waste going to landfill and a 91 % purity rate across recycling categories! Lund developed many good practices that contributed to its waste management success:

› Building on existing trust

The recycling bin upgrade required more sorting from households and at times a tax increase. Both elements could be potential barriers, but Lund was able to lean on its good reputation. For many years, the municipality had provided a reliable waste management service and citizens trusted that the new system would be managed just as professionally. They were willing to accept minor disruption with the new system as well as the increased costs and complexity given to the increasing recycling rates and positive environmental impacts.

› Supporting the change-makers

The municipality recognised that citizens would have questions about the new system. A customer service hotline was provided for citizens to call, serviced by the municipality staff responsible for the roll-out of the new recycling system. They received special training to answer the calls and were enthusiastic to help citizens understand the new system.



› Informing and engaging all citizens

Bringing citizens on board in a new system requires constant engagement to make sure they understand why change is necessary and the implications of their actions. Lund developed a comprehensive engagement campaign for citizens: Local schools and universities helped raise awareness through study tours, brochures, and seminar series. The success was clear: when citizens were given the information, they needed to understand the new system, they responded positively.

› Giving all stakeholders an active role to play, thereby fostering local ownership

The excellent stakeholder engagement was extended to everyone involved in the new system. For example, a new waste collection truck was needed to fit the new recycling bins. Through close collaboration with car manufacturers, a new model of truck was developed from scratch – a testament to the foresight of the municipality in stakeholder engagement.

COUNTRY-SPECIFIC CONTEXT:

ITALY

The rather complex legislative system in Italy is a key aspect to consider when operating within the waste management field.

In Italy the landfill tax was introduced in 1996, based on Law 549/1995. The Law, which defines the upper and the lower level of the tax, is applied at a regional level. The tax is directly paid to the regions by landfill operators. According to the Law, the tax is based on the amount of solid waste landfilled. The national framework law on waste was issued in 1997 (Legislative Decree 22/97), transposing three of the main EU directives on waste: the European Waste Framework Directive, the Directive on Hazardous Waste and the Directive on Packaging and Packaging Waste: the Decree radically modified the tax for households on solid municipal waste generation (based on the floor area of the building), to be gradually replaced by the waste tariff. The tariff is not compulsory, but it can be enforced voluntarily by the municipality. The structure of the tariff includes: a quota to be determined in relation to the essential components of the cost of the service and a quota proportional to the quantity of waste produced by each subject, the standard of service offered by the municipality and the size of the costs of waste management. Legislative Decree 152/2006 abrogated Legislative Decree 22/97, including, however, all its main provisions. Legislative Decree 152/2006 was then subsequently modified by Decree 205/2010 to transpose the 2008 Waste Framework Directive into national law, defining responsibilities of actors in the waste management system at national level. Italian national laws contribute to the implementation of the waste management strategy by defining roles of regions, provinces and municipalities. Along with the common legislation, national laws contribute to the design and implementation of the waste management strategy and continue to follow the European legislation, which includes new recycling targets for 2025 and 2030.

Accordingly, due to the national legislations, regional authorities plan waste management strategies, provincial authorities control the waste collection process, and municipal authorities implement the operational strategies: municipalities are the key public managerial units. This leads to strong geographical heterogeneity in waste management and recycling in Italy, with substantial cross-regional differences: with strong macro-area, with northern regions having comparable performance to the best in Europe, but southern regions lagging behind. There are also substantial variations within provinces, and among municipalities, due to various factors that affect costs of sorting and collecting waste and policies promoted by the local municipality.

Due to these legal constraints, the range of actions that could be implemented within a research and innovation project as SCALIBUR are limited. For example, the implementation of innovative waste collection, sorting and treatment between neighbouring municipalities are not always possible. This in turn increases the cost of the proposed innovation, undermining its potential effectiveness and desirability. The variety of collection and waste tax systems – implemented across regions and municipalities – also lead to citizens' confusion and frustration and could consequently hinder the quality of the collected (bio)waste. Regional differences in treatment and storage of (bio)waste as well as legal barriers (such as the ban on the use of insects rearing) further contribute to limiting the use of (bio)waste for added-value products.



MAIN POLICY BARRIERS IN THE 3 SCALIBUR PILOTS

Albano Laziale

- Implementation of national legislation(s) across regions is not consistent
- Different regulations for waste separation, collection and treatment processes across regions
- Insect rearing: legal aspects
- Production of high quality compost is difficult & often too expensive for municipalities

Kozani

- Local regulations currently limit the use of biowaste to produce added value products (e.g. fertilisers for farming or feed for animals)
- Waste tax is based on the square meters of the household not on the quality of the waste collected
- Current production of biowaste is too small to enable a cost-efficient use of biowaste for value added products

Madrid

- Municipal taxation is not linked to the quantity or quality of the generated waste
- There is a need for coherence between the use of economic instruments for waste management and the rest of policies in this area
- Currently there is not an efficient market for the selling of compost to be generated by biowaste treatment

Figure 1 Main policy barriers in the 3 SCALIBUR pilots.

Have you found ways to overcome these barriers?

Are you facing similar or additional challenges in your city or region?

Get in touch with us and join our biowaste clubs and network of cities and regions working on urban circular bioeconomy!



WHERE TO GET HELP!

Good news:
You are not alone!
Join us!

There are many cities and organisations eager to help you engage the right stakeholders in your city or region in order to convert more urban biowaste into high value-added products and increase the circularity of the biowaste streams.

SCALIBUR and its successor project **HOOP** aim to support not only their pilot cities/regions but also other cities and regions that are motivated to improve their biowaste value chains. You can engage with us in various ways.

➤ On the national level

Replication workshops and biowaste clubs – you are warmly welcome to join us!

Check www.scalibur.eu/category/news/ for upcoming events

National help desks:

Kozani – CluBe

Cluster of Bioeconomy &
Environment of Western Macedonia
Email: info@clube.gr

Albano Laziale – Anci Lazio

Associazione Nazionale Comuni Italiani –
Email: project@ancilazio.it

Madrid – FCC

FCC Medio Ambiente – Online form:
www.fcc.es/en/contacto

➤ On the European level

Visit frontrunner city Lund –

Email: lunds.kommun@lund.se

Network – get connected with other frontrunner and up-and-coming cities interested in closing their urban biowaste loops: <https://hoopproject.eu/network>

Biowaste club help desk – having supported the SCALIBUR pilot cities, CSCP is on stand-by to support you on your biowaste journey, too! Reach out to us for troubleshooting, facilitation, knowledge resources, to connect with other cities or initiatives, and to increase your city/region's visibility:

E-mail: biowasteclubs@cscp.org

Phone: +49 202 45958 10

Website: www.cscp.org

Imprint

Authors (CSCP):

Anna-Carina Diedrich, Francesca Grossi,
Dimitra Ioannidou, Fiona Woo

Layout (CSCP): Eva Rudolf

Image credits

Page 3: Photo by Pawel Czerwinski on Unsplash

Page 4: Photo by Daria Shevtsova on Unsplash

Page 17: Photo by Leon on Unsplash

Page 18: Photo by LightField Studios on Shutterstock

Page 19: Photo by Maxime on Unsplash

Page 20: Photo by Trish Hartmann on flickr

Page 22/23: © by Francesca Grossi

Page 25: Photo by Greenovate! Europe

Page 26: Photo by Tom Podmore on Unsplash

Page 27: Photo by Hivan Arvizu Soyhivan on Unsplash

SCALIBUR (Scalable technologies for bio-urban waste recovery) brings together a unique blend of organisations and expertise, led by **ITENE Packaging, Transport & Logistics Research Center**. The four-year project began in November 2018.



COORDINATOR

Cesar Aliaga

cesar.aliaga@itene.com

COMMUNICATIONS

James Ling

j.ling@greenovate-europe.eu

Capucine Pineau

c.pineau@greenovate-europe.eu

 @SCALIBUR_H2020

 SCALIBUR project

www.scalibur.eu

